

Defense Information School



6500 Mapes Road, Fort Meade, Maryland 20755

Joint Contingency Public Affairs Course Training Program of Instruction

Training Effective Date: 1 October 2020

John S. Hutcheson
Colonel, U. S. Air Force
Commandant

Contents

<u>Course Description</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Preface</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>Training Task Inventory</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>Course Training Standard</u>	<u>6</u>
<u>Measurement Plan</u>	<u>7</u>
<u>Course Design Resource Estimate</u>	<u>10</u>
<u>Direct Instructional Activities</u>	<u>10</u>
<u>Recommended Instructor Requirement By Service</u>	<u>12</u>
<u>Classroom and Equipment Requirements</u>	<u>13</u>
<u>References</u>	<u>15</u>

Course Description

PURPOSE: The purpose of this course is to provide students the knowledge and skills needed to perform the duties of a public affairs/communication strategy practitioner at the operational level. Students will receive training in communication analysis and plans in support of the commander's objectives: Provide Public Affairs in Theater & Provide Public Affairs in the Joint Operational Area.

SPECIALTY AWARDED: See individual Service documentation for specialty awarded.

TRAINING METHODOLOGY: Resident only

COURSE DESCRIPTION: The graduate will study and practice planning and execution of public affairs operations unique to a contingency environment, with an emphasis on operational planning and integration on a joint staff.

PREREQUISITES: Students must have graduated from one of these courses: DINFOS-JSPAC, DINFOS-JSEPAC, DINFOS-IPASC, DINFOS-PAOQC, DINFOS-PAQC, or DINFOS PAQCADL-NR/R

See Army Training Requirements and Resources System (ATRRS) site: <https://www.atrrs.army.mil/atrrsc/>. School code 212.

Preface

REASON FOR NEW TRAINING: Supports tasks selected by the TTSB conducted on 03 November 2015 and is aligned with the Mass Communication Competency Model created in December 2018.

IMPLEMENTATION DATE: Training for this course will begin in FY21, and will be submitted to the appropriate accreditation agencies upon TPI approval by the Commandant.

COURSE DATA: The annual Service input data is a projection for FY 2021

Course	Length	Student Maximum	Student Minimum	Annual Course Cap	Number of Iterations
JCPAC (FY21)	10 days	16	12	80	5

MANPOWER:

FY 21 Instructors required: 3

EQUIPMENT: See equipment list.

FUNDING: Any new resource and technology equipment requirements for this course, as identified in the development process, will be coordinated by the department through the Director and the Directorate of Logistics, as well as the Chief Engineer and Chief of Information Technology (as appropriate) for development of the funding strategy to support this course.

FACILITIES: Resident iterations will be conducted in available classrooms.

BASE OPERATING SUPPORT: There are no new billeting or messing requirements.

POC: The POC for this action is the Course Development Office, cdo@dinfos.edu

Training Task Inventory

Terminal Learning Objective	Competency (K/P)	Training Importance (High – Medium – Low)				
- Enabling Learning Objectives	Knowledge/Performance	USA	USAF	USN	USMC	USCG
Provide PA in the Theater	P	H	H	H	H	
- Outline communication advice and counsel to commander						
- Perform communication strategy duties within all phases of the Joint Planning Process to drive changes in knowledge, attitudes and/or behaviors						
- Demonstrate knowledge of the role of PA/CommStrat practitioners and operations in multi-domain and contingency environments	K	H	H	H	H	
Provide PA in the Joint Operations Area	P	H	H	H	H	
- Conduct research and analysis in support of contingency operations						
- Report influences in the operational environment to gain and maintain the competitive advantage in the operational information environment						
- Integrate research and analysis into communication planning and information warfare strategies						

Course Training Standard

1. This Course Training Standard applies to tasks selected and mandated by the uniformed services as listed in the TTI signed in November 2015.
2. A thorough learning analysis of these changes and the impact on the delivery of instruction has been conducted. The CDRE reflects required manpower or equipment resources.
3. This task listing provides for the development of lesson plans, training materials, student performance and progress measurements, and the Training Program of Instruction (TPI). It has been organized and sequenced and reflects the levels of student competency and projected instructional hours to complete task training.
4. Projected hours have been determined by each unit.

FUNCTIONAL AREA 1: Public Affairs in the Joint Operations Area	COMPETENCY LEVEL
---	-------------------------

TLO 1 Provide public affairs in the theater (Operational Art & Design)

- | | |
|---|---|
| ELO 1.1 Outline communication advice and counsel to commander | P |
| ELO 1.2 Perform communication strategy duties within all phases of the Joint Planning Process to drive changes in knowledge, attitudes and/or behaviors | P |
| ELO 1.3 Demonstrate knowledge of the role of PA/CommStrat practitioners and operations in multi-domain and contingency environments | K |

TLO 2 Provide public affairs in the joint operations area (Joint Staff & Coalition integration, planning, coordination)

- | | |
|--|---|
| ELO 2.1 Conduct research and analysis in support of contingency operations | P |
| ELO 2.2 Report influences in the operational environment to gain and maintain the competitive advantage in the operational information environment | P |
| ELO 2.3 Integrate research and analysis into communication planning and information warfare strategies | P |

Total Unit Hours: 69

FUNCTIONAL AREA 2: Administration
--

UNIT 1 Course Opening

- DINFOS In-processing
- Laptop Issue
- Course Orientation

Total Unit Hours: 2

UNIT 2 Course Closing

- Laptop Turn-in
- Out-processing
- Graduation

Total Unit hours: 3

Total Course Hours: 74

Measurement Plan

1. This Measurement Plan establishes procedures for evaluating student achievement of objectives in the Joint Contingency Public Affairs Course (JCPAC) as mandated by the Training Task Inventory (TTI) resulting from the Training Task Selection Board (TTSB) conducted in November 2015 and aligned with the Public Affairs Training and Education Council’s Military Communication Competency Model.
2. Evaluation methods. Knowledge-based tasks were not a priority for the services. It was preferential, instead, that students be assessed based on their performance. For grading and reporting purposes, student progress is measured by the following evaluation devices:
 - a. Various formative assessment strategies during performance exercise
 - b. Performance exams
 - c. Peer evaluations
3. Minimum standard. The minimum passing score for each evaluated item is 70 percent. The maximum score on a re-administered exam meeting the minimum standard is a score of 70 percent. Students must achieve a minimum passing score on each assignment before progressing in the course.
4. List of exams. All tasks will be evaluated utilizing formative and summative assessment strategies. Summative assessments are identified below.
5. Recycle/Elimination. Students are not eligible for recycling, and will instead be recommended for elimination. The Service is responsible for obtaining a seat in a later iteration. Any exception to this policy must be requested by the academic director and approved by the directorate.

Functional Area 1: Public Affairs in the Joint Operations Area				
Assessment		TLO Tested	Performance Outcome	Weight
Deliverable	Research Findings Brief	PROVIDE public affairs in the theater	Given lectures, job aids, group and individual exercises, and incorporating concepts such as <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● issue management ● complex adaptive systems thinking ● cross-synergy planning ● battle rhythm and staff integration ● information disorder the student enrolled in JCPAC should be able to <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● OUTLINE communication advice and counsel to commander ● CONDUCT research and analysis in support of contingency operations ● REPORT influences in the operational environment to gain and maintain the competitive advantage in the operational information environment and should also be able to earn a minimum score of 70% on the following assessment(s): Research Findings Brief (individual and group presentations)	20%
		PROVIDE public affairs in the joint operations area		
ELO(s) 1.1 OUTLINE communication advice and counsel to commander 2.1 CONDUCT research and analysis in support of contingency operations 2.2 REPORT influences in the operational environment to gain and maintain the competitive advantage in the operational information environment				

Assessment		TLO Tested	Performance Outcome	Weight
Deliverable	Communication Strategy (Part 1: Paper)	PROVIDE public affairs in the theater	Given the sum of review materials provided, communication product evaluation and feedback, lectures, job aids, and handouts, the student enrolled in JCPAC should be able to INTEGRATE research and analysis into communication planning and information warfare strategies and OUTLINE communication advice and counsel to commander and earn a minimum score of 70% on the following assessment: Communication Strategy PAPER	25%
Deliverable	Communication Strategy (Part 2: Brief)	PROVIDE public affairs in the theater	Given the sum of review materials provided, communication product evaluation and feedback, lectures, job aids, and handouts, the student enrolled in JCPAC should be able to verbally OUTLINE communication advice and counsel to commander and earn a minimum score of 70% on the following assessment: Communication Strategy BRIEF	25%
ELO(s) 1.1 OUTLINE communication advice and counsel to commander 2.3 INTEGRATE research and analysis into communication planning and information warfare strategies				
Assessment		TLO Tested	Performance Outcome	Weight
Deliverable	Products: Annex F, Staff Estimate, Proposed PAG, Communication Products & Engagement	PROVIDE public affairs in the theater	<p>Given the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● sum of review materials provided in lectures, job aids, handouts; and ● injects and feedback (provided during the scenario exercise) including the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ articles and social media released on SimDeck; ○ instructor/roleplayer feedback; ○ communication product assessments; ○ templates; ○ reference materials; and ○ command directives <p>the student enrolled in JCPAC should be able to PERFORM communication strategy duties within all phases of the Joint Planning Process to drive changes in knowledge, attitudes, and/or behaviors of relevant actors. The student should be able to earn a minimum score of 70% on the following assessment(s): Annex F; Estimate; Public Affairs Guidance; and Communication Products & Engagement</p>	10%
ELO(s) 1.2 PERFORM communication strategy duties within all phases of the Joint Planning Process to drive changes in knowledge, attitudes, and/or behaviors of relevant actors				

Assessment		TLO Tested	Performance Outcome	Weight
Deliverable	Interaction / Coordination with J-Staff	PROVIDE public affairs in the theater	Given opportunities to demonstrate a proactive skill set (comprised of behaviors such as situational awareness, strategic foresight, intentional action, big picture awareness, proactive behaviors, the demonstration of bias for action, etc.) while interacting and coordinating with J-Staff, the student enrolled in JCPAC should be able to DEMONSTRATE knowledge of the role of PA/CommStrat practitioners and operations in multi-domain and contingency environments and earn a minimum score of 70% on the following assessment: Interaction / Coordination with J-Staff	20%
ELO(s) 1.3 DEMONSTRATE knowledge of the role of PA/CommStrat practitioners and operations in multi-domain and contingency environments				

Course Design Resource Estimate

COURSE DATA:

Programmed Annual Input (FY21)

USA – 33 (41%) USMC – 10 (12%)
 USCG – 3 (4%) USN – 11 (14%)
 USAF – 23 (29%)

Course Length – 10 days
 Total TPI Hours 74
 Annual Iterations - 5
 Max. Annual Output – 80

Direct Instructional Activities

CURRICULUM BREAKOUT (FY21)				
Type of Training	Students	Instr Req	TPI Hours	ICH
Administration (AD)	16	2	5	10
Lecture (L)*	16	3	19	57
Practice Exercise (PE)	16	3	2	6
Performance Exam (EP)	16	3	48	144
TOTALS			74	217
INSTRUCTOR COMPUTATION:				
Total Instructor Contact Hours (ICH)				217
# of iterations				5
Annual Instructor Contact Hours				1085
Supervision, Preparation and related Duties Factor				1.26
Factored Annual Instructor Hours				1367.1
Monthly Instructor Hours				113.9
Computational Value				145
ICH Instructors Required				0.79

* Instructor/student ratio of 1:8 for lecture required to support 55% active learning activities in non-traditional lecture methodology.

Non-Instructor Contact Hours Activity (FY 21)

Additive Computation for Instructor Non-Contact Hours per Iteration (FY21)			
Instructional Support	# of Events	Avg Time for Event (in hours)	Hours/Iteration
Coordinating FTX/OSX	14	3	42
Partnership Integration	20	2	40
Total Additional Instructor Hours per Iteration			82
# of iterations scheduled per fiscal year			5
Total Additional Instructor Hours per Year			410
Full-Time Equivalent Hour (FTE)			1940
Instructors required for Non-Contact Hour Activities per Iteration			.21
Non-ICH Instructors per iteration			.21

Additive Computation for Instructor Non-Contact Hours per student per iteration (FY21)			
Instructional Support	# of Events	Avg Time for Event (in hours)	Hours (Iteration)
Grading Projects (Process/Performance/Product-based) (only when excessive or outside .26)	14	1	14
Coaching Performance Exercises/Activities (only when excessive or outside .26)	14	1	14
Total hours per student			28
# of Students			16
# of hours per Iteration			448
# of iterations scheduled per fiscal year			5
Total hours per year			2240
Full-Time Equivalent Hour (FTE)			1940
Instructors required for Non-Contact Hour Activities per student			1.15
Non-ICH Hours - Student			1.15
Non-ICH Hours - Iteration			.21
ICH Hours			0.79
Total Instructors Required			2.15
ITRO Rounding			3.0

* Instructor/student ratio of 1:8 for activities required to support extensive mentoring throughout the course

Recommended Instructor Requirement By Service

USA: 1.23 USAF: .87 USN: .42 USMC: .36 USCG: .12

Classroom and Equipment Requirements

Light Classroom Equipment	# Per Classroom	# On-Hand	# Assigned to Course	New Requirement
Projector, Overhead with remote and wireless connection	1			
Screen, Projection	1			
Keyboard and Mouse, Wireless	1			
Laser Printer, 8.5 x 11 Paper	1			
Student Desk, Ergonomic, movable (2 students per desk)	14			
Student Chair (2 chairs per desk)	28			
(I) Color Monitor 19" or greater	1			
(I) Table and chair, Ergonomic	1			
(I) Docking Station, Laptop	1			
(I) Room Speaker System	1			
Collaborative software (i.e. Google Suite)	1			
WiFi capability	1			
Cart, rolling	1			
Instructor Software Light Classroom				
Google G-Suite	1			
Internet Browsers	1			
Microsoft Office	1			
Adobe Creative Cloud	1			
SimDeck	1			
Student Hardware	# Per Student			
Laptop with approved apps/software	1			
Transportation	Qty per iteration	# Students per vehicle		
Van, 12 passenger	2	12		
Consumables	Total # Required			
Stand (butcher block paper and Chart-Pak)	2			
Pen (ink-black)	20			
Pencils	20			
Dry erase marker (set)	4			
Paper refills (butcher block paper and Chart-Pak)	2			

Paper , Copier (cases)	6			
Folders (2 pocket)	20			
Reference Materials (Books)	# Per Classroom	# On hand		
Garcia, Helio Fred. (2012). The Power of Communication. Pearson Education Inc.	20	20		
Lukaszewski, James E. (2010). Why Should the Boss Listen to You. The seven Disciplines of the Trusted Strategic Advisor. Jossey-Bass	20	20		

Contract Support Requirements	# Per Iteration	# of hours required per day	Number of Days	# of Iterations
Role players	7	8	9	5

References

- 01-142119-830 Joint Chiefs of Staff. (2016). Joint Operating Environment JOE 2035; The Joint Force in a Contested and Disordered World. Retrieved from Joint Chiefs of Staff: http://www.jcs.mil/Portals/36/Documents/Doctrine/concepts/joe_2035_july16.pdf?ver=2017-12-28
- 153711-177 Joint Chiefs of Staff. (2018). Joint Concept for Operating in the Information Environment (JCOIE). Retrieved from Joint Chiefs of Staff: https://www.jcs.mil/Portals/36/Documents/Doctrine/concepts/joint_concepts_jcoie.pdf?ver=2018-08-162059-917
- 162059-917 Joint Publication 3-61. (2016). Public Affairs. Retrieved from Joint Chiefs of Staff: http://www.jcs.mil/Portals/36/Documents/Doctrine/pubs/jp3_61.pdf.
- Congressional Research Service. (2020). Defense Primer: Information Operations. Retrieved from <https://crsreports.congress.gov/product/pdf/IF/IF1077>
- Dillon, B, Bourke, J. (2016). The Six Signature Traits of Inclusive Leadership. Deloitte University Press. Retrieved from <https://www2.deloitte.com/content/dam/Deloitte/au/Documents/human-capital/deloitte-au-hc-six-signature-traits-inclusive-leadership-020516.pdf>
- DoD. (2018). Sharpening the American Military's Competitive Edge, Summary of the 2018 National Defense Strategy of the United States of America. Retrieved from <https://dod.defense.gov/Portals/1/Documents/pubs/2018-National-Defense-Strategy-Summary.pdf>
- DoD. (2016). Strategy for Operations in the Information Environment. Retrieved from <https://dod.defense.gov/Portals/1/Documents/pubs/DoD-Strategy-for-Operations-in-the-IE-Signed-20160613.pdf>
- FEMA PrepTalks: Using Complex Adaptive Systems Thinking to Understand Community. Interdependencies (2019). Retrieved from <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=cj1arD547As>
- Fierro, D., Putino, S., & Tirone, L. (2018). The Cynefin Framework and Technical Competencies: A New Guideline to Act in the Complexity. INCOSE International Symposium, 28(1), 532–552. Retrieved from <http://ceur-ws.org/Vol-2010/paper10.pdf>
- Garcia, Helio Fred. (2012). The Power of Communication. Pearson Education Inc.
- Gayeski, D (2016). Managing the Communication Function (3rd ed.). Chapter 1 - The Developing Role of the Communication Function and Its Leader. Retrieved from <https://www.iabc.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/07/Managing-the-Communication-Function-3-finaldraft.pdf>
- Groysberg, B., Seligson, S. (2014). Seven Skills to Succeed in the C-Suite. Retrieved from <https://www.theofficialboard.com/blog/tips/7-traits-and-skills-to-stay-relevant-in-the-c-suite/>
- Grynkewich, A. (2018). Introducing information as a joint function. JFQ-Joint Force Quarterly(88, 2nd Quarter), pp. 6-7. Retrieved from National Defense University Press: <https://ndupress.ndu.edu/Portals/68/Documents/jfq/jfq-89/jfq-89.pdf?ver=2018-04-19->
- Hatter, D. (2018). The Cynefin Framework for Decision Making. Retrieved from https://pmiswohio.org/images/downloads/Summit18/hatter_cynefin_framework_summit18_03202018.pdf
- Hill, A (2018). Army War College: Innovation -- and strategy -- for complex, adaptive systems with Dr. Andrew Hill. Retrieved from <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FlzY93CyhOc>
- Joint Chiefs of Staff. (2016). Joint Concept for Human Aspects of Military Operations (JC-HAMO). Retrieved from NSI: <https://nsiteam.com/social/wp-content/uploads/2017/01/20161019-Joint-Concept-for-Human-Aspects-of-Military-Operations-Signed-by-VCJCS.pdf>
- Joint Publication 5-0. (2017). Joint Planning. Retrieved from Joint Chiefs of Staff: https://www.jcs.mil/Portals/36/Documents/Doctrine/pubs/jp5_0_20171606.pdf
- Kelly, N. (2019). 5 Ways to Foster a Global Mindset in Your Company. Retrieved from Harvard Business Review: <https://hbr.org/2019/07/5-ways-to-foster-a-global-mindset-in-your-company>
- Kendi, I. (2018). How to be the Smartest in the Room, Retrieved from <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IPzE9BsiDWU>

Kilvington, Allen, Will, Margaret. (2018). Retrieved from An introduction to systems thinking and tools for systems thinking – Talking about and solving real-world challenges together
<https://learningforsustainability.net/wp-content/uploads/2018/04/Intro-systems-thinking-and-tools-for-systems-thinking-20180417.pdf>

Lukaszewski, James E. (2010). Why Should the Boss Listen to You. The Seven Disciplines of the Trusted Strategic Advisor. Jossey-Bass

Macal, C. (2019). FEMA: Discussion Materials -Using Complex Adaptive Systems Thinking to Understand Community Interdependencies. Retrieved from
<https://www.fema.gov/media-library/assets/documents/179522>

Making Sense of Complexity - an introduction to Cynefin (July 2017). Retrieved from <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=epXqgrm2hs4>

Mattis, J. (2009). Launching NATO's New Strategic Concept - Speech by General James Mattis, Supreme Allied Commander Transformation, A Transformation perspective. Retrieved from http://www.nato.int/cps/en/natolive/opinions_56392.htm

Paul, Christopher, Yeats. Colin. Clarke, Matthews, and Skrabala. (2015). Assessing and Evaluating Department of Defense Efforts to Inform, Influence, and Persuade: Handbook for Practitioners, RR-809/2-OSD, 2015. Retrieved from www.rand.org/pubs/research_reports/RR809z2.html

Reeder, T. (2017) Why Agile Management? Because it is an increasingly VUCA world. Retrieved from <http://nextforge.com/?p=2449>

Samson, A. (Ed.). (n.d.). The Behavioral Economics Guide 2017. Retrieved from www.behavioraleconomics.com/the-behavioral-economics-guide-2017

Scivicque, C. (2016) The Proactive Professional. CCS Ventures, LLC

Snowden, D. (2018). Complex Adaptive Systems. Retrieved from <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=l4-vpegxYPg&t=1s>

The Cynefin Framework, Cognitive Edge. (2010). Retrieved from
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=N7oz366X0-8&list=PLZ2whJ5v4LaSteGdsTHERunBTX0aAAHzT>